



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/656,114	09/08/2003	Yoshifumi Tanimoto	031004	7054
38834	7590	06/20/2007	EXAMINER	
WESTERMAN, HATTORI, DANIELS & ADRIAN, LLP			NAUROT TON, JOAN	
1250 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, NW			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 700			2154	
WASHINGTON, DC 20036				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
06/20/2007		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/656,114	TANIMOTO, YOSHIFUMI
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Joan B. Naurot Ton	2109

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 September 2003.
- 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 10/656,114.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .	5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application
	6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____

Continuation of Attachment(s) 3). Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08), Paper No(s)/Mail Date :03/12/2007,09/07/2005,09/08/2003.

DETAILED ACTION

**This first office action is in response to Application number 10/656114, filed on
09/08/2003.**

Priority

1. Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d). The certified copy has been filed in parent Application No. 10/656114 , filed on 09/08/2003.

Claim Objections

2. Claims 2, 7, and 9 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Regarding claim 2:

On line 5, the phrase, "an electronic mail" should be changed to –the electronic mail message--.

Regarding claim 7:

On line 2, the phrase "a configuration data entry field" should be changed to –the configuration data entry field--.

Regarding claim 9:

On line 4, the phrase "an electronic mail message" should be changed to –the electronic mail message--.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

3. Claims 1, 3, 11, and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Murata, (Japanese Publication number 2001-186301)

Regarding claims 1, 3, and 12:

A communication terminal device and method comprising:

means for accepting a screen file for displaying a configuration screen having a configuration data entry field (paragraph 0013 discloses an HTML file which shows the configuration setting information with an input screen);
means for accepting configuration data for setting a prescribed apparatus in the configuration data entry field (paragraph 001 discloses a fax machine whose device settings can be changed remotely using a browser, in which the means, data entry field, and accepting unit which is the client all of which are additionally implied by these functions which are performed); means for accepting information for specifying the prescribed apparatus intended to set the configuration data, as destination identification information (Since in paragraph 0005, the device settings are changed from a browser on the client, the device must have been specified and identified, and the unit to do this is the client, with the prescribed apparatus being the fax); and means for transmitting the configuration data to an apparatus specified by the destination identification information (paragraph 0005 discloses a client, which inherently has transmitting

means, using a browser to change the device settings which must have been specified with a destination identification information in order to be sent from the client to the prescribed apparatus).

Regarding claim 11:

The communication terminal device according to claim 3, wherein the destination accepting unit accepts an entry of a Uniform Resource Locator (URL) which can be acquired by the prescribed apparatus, and the transmission unit transmits the configuration data to the URL (paragraph 0006 discloses transmitting the device setting information displayed on a browser which has a URL and paragraph 0037 discloses the "MFT" or multi functional peripheral device, reads the configuration data based on the specified URL).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 2 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Murata (JP publication number 2001-186301), in view of Bates et al (US patent number 6963901), hereinafter referred to as Bates.

Regarding claims 2 and 9:

Murata discloses all the limitations except for wherein the means for

accepting the destination identification information accepts an entry of an electronic mail address of an electronic mail message which can be acquired by the prescribed apparatus, and the means for transmitting transmits an electronic mail message including the configuration data to the electronic mail address.

Bates teaches using email messages to transmit configuration data. (Bates discloses in Column 9, line 55-57 that an email message is transmitted that includes configuration information for a prescribed apparatus, which in Bate's invention is a browser program, and the email address is designated in the message, which is sent to the browser program, line 54, Column 9).

The general concept of providing email messages to provide configuration data is well known in the art as illustrated by Bates who discloses using email messages in a configuration method and apparatus.

It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Murata of his to include the use of an email message and address in his advantageous method as taught by Bates in order to "facilitate the configuration" as stated by Bates in Column 1, line 58.

6. Claims 4 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Murata (JP publication number 2001-186301) in view of Weghorst et al (US patent 6775559 B1), hereinafter referred to as Weghorst.

Regarding claims 4 and 13:

Murata discloses all the limitations of claims 4 and 13 except for:

Art Unit: 2109

a confirmation screen generation unit, which generates a confirmation screen for confirming the configuration data and/or the destination identification information accepted by the configuration data accepting unit and/or the destination accepting unit.

Weghorst teaches a confirmation screen sent as a message to confirm the configuration data. (Weghorst uses a short message service that is sent as a screen file in text, which includes a checksum, which is used for configuration data confirmation, in addition to the configuration data. Column 3, paragraphs 1, 2, and 4)

The general concept of providing a confirmation screen for confirming configuration data is well known in the art as illustrated by Weghorst who discloses a confirmation generation in a configuration method.

It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Murata to include the use of a confirmation screen in his advantageous method as taught by Weghorst in order to provide for the "setting of the...parameters can also be accomplished in a remote-controlled manner" as stated by Weghorst in his abstract, last three of four lines.

7. Claims 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Murata (JP publication number 2001-186301) in view of Kley (US patent 6947977)

Regarding claim 5:

Murata discloses all the limitations of claim 5 except for wherein the screen file accepting unit accepts the screen file from a remote recording medium.

Kley teaches using a web server to send a screen file to a client, or screen file accepting unit. (Kley discloses a web server which provides screen files to a user unit

Art Unit: 2109

which accepts the screen file and in which the web server inherently has RAM which is a recording medium, Column 1, lines 40-49).

The general concept of providing a remote recording medium to send a screen file is well known in the art as illustrated by Kley who discloses a Web server in a system which sends screen files to clients to perform configuration of service requests (Column1, lines 43-46).

It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Murata to include the use of a remote recording medium in his advantageous method as taught by Kley in order to communicate web pages requested by the clients back to the requesting client systems as stated by Kley in Column 2, lines 4-5.

Regarding claim 6:

Murata discloses all the limitations except for wherein the screen file accepting unit accepts the screen file from other than the prescribed apparatus via a communication network.

Kley teaches using a network (title) which sends screen files from a web server to a client. (Kley discloses a web server, which provides screen files to a user accepting unit , the client computer, who accepts the file and uses a communication network Column 1, lines 40-49).

The general concept of providing a way to send a screen file via a communication network is well known in the art as illustrated by Kley who discloses a

Art Unit: 2109

Web server in a system which sends screen files to clients to perform configuration of service requests (Column1, lines 43-46).

It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Murata to include the use of a remote recording medium in his advantageous method as taught by Kley in order to communicate web pages requested by the clients back to the requesting client systems as stated by Kley in Column 2, lines 4-5.

8. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Murata in view of Harvey (US patent 7054924)

Regarding claim 7:

Murata discloses all the limitations of claim 7 except for wherein the screen file includes a default value in a configuration data entry field. (Harvey discloses a screen file in Figure 4 and discloses that "the default IP address value is 0.0.0.0. which means the user must enter a value. Column 12, lines 55-57).

The general concept of providing a default value for a configuration method and apparatus is well known in the art as illustrated by Harvey who discloses a default value in a configuration data entry field.

It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Murata to include the use of a default value in his advantageous method as taught by Harvey in order to provide for "carrying out network device...configuration, and communication of other information to a network device, automatically and in an assured manner" as stated by Harvey in his abstract, lines 1-4.

Art Unit: 2109

9. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Murata (JP publication number 2001-186301) in view of Fields et al (US patent 6412008 B1)

Regarding claim 8:

Murata discloses all the limitations of claim 8 except for: a user specifying unit which specifies a user; and a customize unit which customizes the screen file in accordance with a result of the specification

Fields teaches customizing screen files after specification of the user. (When the client requests the screen file, the server determines the user characteristics to customize the screen file. Abstract, lines 3-6 and 9-11, and title)

The general concept of customizing a screen file according to user characteristics is well known in the art as illustrated by Fields who discloses screen file customization in a screen file method and system.

It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Murata to include the use of customization based on user information in his advantageous method as taught by Fields in order to customize and display a network file as stated by Fields in his abstract, lines 1-2.

10. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Murata in view of Bates, as applied to claim 9, and further in view of Harrison (US patent number 6434502)

Regarding claim 10:

Murata discloses all the limitations of claim 10 except for wherein the electronic mail address is an electronic mail address exclusive for maintenance.

Art Unit: 2109

Bates discloses that an email message is used for re-configuring, thus using an email address for maintenance, title, and abstract, last two lines.

The general concept of providing an email address for maintenance of configuration is well known in the art as illustrated by Bates who discloses an email message with an address in a reconfiguration method and apparatus.

It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Bates to include the use of an email address for configuration maintenance in his advantageous method as taught by Bates in order to "facilitate the configuration" as stated by Bates in Column 1, line 59.

Harrison teaches using a dedicated email address for updating information.
(Harrison discloses using a dedicated or exclusive email address for the updating of information, Column 1, line 51-51, and Column 1, lines 8-9.)

The general concept of providing an exclusive email address is well known in the art as illustrated by Harrison who discloses a dedicated email address in an information updating system.

It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Murata to include the use of an exclusive email address in his advantageous method as taught by Harrison in order to "send update...information" as stated by Harrison in his abstract, diagram block 80.

Conclusion

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joan B. Naurot Ton whose telephone number is 571-

Art Unit: 2109

270-1595. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 9 to 6:30 (flex sched) and alt Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan Flynn can be reached on 571-272-1915. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JBNT
06/01/2007

NATHAN J. FLYNN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100